

Unlocking Value in Credit: Developed Market vs. Emerging Market Financials

Published August 5, 2014


Rick Harper

Chief Investment Officer, Fixed Income and Model Portfolios


Simple logic can sometimes steer investors toward invaluable themes. As a fixed income investor, it may be attractive to invest in the debt of issuers that are in the process of deleveraging, particularly after a period of excesses leading up to the global financial crisis. Conversely, investors may demand higher compensation from issuers that have continued to expand their balance sheets. We find this to be particularly true when seeking opportunities for investment in the debt of financials around the world. This notion of deleveraging versus continued balance sheet expansion is particularly evident when comparing U.S. banks to those in the emerging markets (EM). U.S. banks are posting sluggish loan growth, setting aside greater capital reserves and reining in their balance sheets to comply with new regulations post-financial crisis. In a recent roundtable discussion we had with Western Asset Management, one portfolio manager asked rhetorically, “How do banks get into trouble? Bad loans and bad acquisitions.”¹ Complying with post-crisis regulation helps mitigate both potential pitfalls. As shown in the chart below, developed market (DM) deleveraging contrasts with the continued expansion we are seeing in many EM bank balance sheets. EM banks continue to post robust loan growth (in excess of the growth of deposits) in order to meet the demands of a burgeoning consumer class. However, this could be an ever-increasing risk to bondholders. Loan growth yields higher profitability only if loan quality remains high. Without a purge of bad loans like we saw across the U.S. post-crisis, emerging market banks may be attempting to grow their way out of past excesses.

Loan to Deposit Ratios: 12/31/04 – 12/31/13

U.S Banks: Loans as a % of Total Deposits



EM Banks: Loans as a % of Total Deposits



Sources: Federal Reserve, Morgan Stanley, December 2013.

In large and growing economies, it is common for financial issuers to trade at lower yields than industrial bonds of comparable quality. The painful losses experienced by investors in U.S. and European financial debt during the crisis and the painstaking rebuilding process turned that dynamic on its head. Today, U.S. banks now trade at higher levels of compensation compared to the other domestic corporate bonds of similar quality, and EM banks trade at lower yields than EM corporate bonds in aggregate.² In the three years prior to the summer of 2007, bank bonds maturing in five to 10 years traded at an 11-basis-point (bp) discount to comparable maturity industrials. Since July 2007, these securities averaged a yield premium of 57 bp compared to the broader universe. Today, financials trade at a 15-bp premium.³ In today's low-yield environment, we view current valuations as a potential opportunity. DM banks are trading at wider spreads while continuing to delever. Additionally, EM banks remain much less battle-tested than many of the hard asset companies, which have navigated the turmoil of growing emerging market economies for many years. Yet they continue to trade at tighter spreads than many large multinationals in the same country of domicile. While we believe this dynamic to be compelling, being able to express this view is generally difficult for most investors, except institutional money managers. However, both of these themes drive the construction of two of WisdomTree's corporate bond strategies. In the [WisdomTree Strategic Corporate Bond Fund \(CRDT\)](#), the portfolio maintains an over-weight to DM financials relative to other sectors. In EM, the [WisdomTree Emerging Markets Corporate Bond Fund \(EMCB\)](#) has a 0% weight to emerging market financials in favor of opportunities in basic industrials.⁴

¹Ryan Brist, Western Asset Management, June 2014.

2Source: J.P. Morgan, as of 6/30/14.

3Source: Bank of America Merrill Lynch, as of 6/30/14.

4Source: WisdomTree, as of 6/30/14. Holdings subject to change.

Important Risks Related to this Article

Foreign investing involves special risks, such as risk of loss from currency fluctuation or political or economic uncertainty. Investments in emerging, offshore or frontier markets are generally less liquid and less efficient than investments in developed markets and are subject to additional risks, such as risks of adverse governmental regulation and intervention or political developments. Derivative investments can be volatile, and these investments may be less liquid than other securities, and more sensitive to the effects of varied economic conditions. Fixed income investments are subject to interest rate risk; their value will normally decline as interest rates rise. In addition, when interest rates fall, income may decline. Fixed income investments are also subject to credit risk, the risk that the issuer of a bond will fail to pay interest and principal in a timely manner, or that negative perceptions of the issuer's ability to make such payments will cause the price of that bond to decline. Unlike typical exchange-traded funds, there is no index that these Funds attempt to track or replicate. Thus, the ability of the Funds to achieve their objective will depend on the effectiveness of the portfolio manager. Please read each Fund's prospectus for specific details regarding each Fund's risk profile. Western Asset Management is not affiliated with ALPS Distributors, Inc.