

Discussing Monetary Policy with Jim Bullard

Published 8 October 2018

WisdomTree

Contributor

Last week's "Behind the Markets" podcast featured a one-hour discussion with Jim Bullard, president and CEO of the US Federal Reserve (Fed) Bank of St. Louis, following the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) statement announcement on 26 September 2018.

Professor Jeremy Siegel commented that it was interesting to see the wording of the FOMC statement to be exactly the same, absent one sentence implying that the current rate was "accommodative." The market initially read the removal of that sentence as "bullish" and concluded that current policy was near the longer-run neutral rate and the Fed might be closer to the end of its hiking cycle. But then Fed chair Jerome Powell said 'do not look into that' sentence removal too much—it is not meant to change the Fed's policy stance, and the dot plot reflecting the hiking course remains unchanged.

Some points Bullard emphasized in the discussion:

- **Yield curve:** Bullard would not risk inverting the yield curve by moving the Federal Funds Rate over the long-term bond rate because many of the arguments for a flatter curve imply "this time is different" thinking. Bullard pointed out how previous "this time is different" arguments on the yield curve failed the Fed staff in 2000 and again in 2006. One of the first things Ben Bernanke did as Fed chair was to give a speech on the inverted yield curve just as the crisis was getting started. Bullard summarized: the Fed disregarded the yield curve when it disagreed with their Phillips curve models, but because Bullard and the Fed have been burned by that stance now multiple times, he'd rather not take inversion risk.
- **Low interest rate environment:** Bullard believes that we are in a low real rate world both in the US and globally and that the forces driving these rates lower over the last 30 years won't change quickly. He wrote a paper called "R-Star Wars: The Phantom Menace" that explains his breakdown of the lower real rates from "investor demand for safe haven assets, declining labor force, and poor labor productivity"—with safe haven assets being the most outsized contributor to the drop.
- The decline in the trend of real interest rates is as much as 600 basis points (bps) since the mid 1980's, and while Bullard admitted that the Fed influences short-term rates, it does not influence the trend in these levels. Bullard's paper looked at nominal 1-Year Treasury yields, subtracting trailing inflation measures. This gives a 1-year ex-post real return that was quite high in 1985 but low today. Last Friday (28 September 2018), during the discussion, 1-year Treasuries were yielding 2.56%; subtracting 2% inflation gets real yields closer to 50 to 60 bps.

- The decline in the trend of real interest rates is as much as 600 basis points (bps) since the mid 1980's, and while Bullard admitted that the Fed influences short-term rates, it does not influence the trend in these levels. Bullard's paper looked at nominal 1-Year Treasury yields, subtracting trailing inflation measures. This gives a 1-year ex-post real return that was quite high in 1985 but low today. Last Friday (28 September 2018), during the discussion, 1-year Treasuries were yielding 2.56%; subtracting 2% inflation gets real yields closer to 50 to 60 bps.
- **Be informed by market forces to keep the economy rolling:** Bullard wants more of monetary policy to be propelled by market-driven information—including things such the yield curve and inflation estimates derived from the TIPS (Treasury Inflation-Protected Securities) market—saying that the market participants pricing these securities have a lot of information that Fed economic models do not have.
- **Labor markets not relevant to inflation?** Professor Siegel inquired whether our declining unemployment rate would lead to inflation pressures. Bullard responded by emphasizing the difference between the modern era and older era—inflation expectations before 1955 were not well anchored—and he described the success of the Fed's inflation-targeting program to 2% and the credibility in this program as making the Phillips curve less relevant. This was an interesting segment on how the unemployment gap no longer correlates to inflation—so the Fed should pay less attention to the unemployment rate in forecasting inflation now than it used to.
- **Is China devaluing its currency in the trade war?** We discussed what is happening with the dollar and the US Dollar's strength versus the Euro, which Bullard ascribed to better economic growth in the US compared with Europe. Regarding China, he noted that it was harder to disentangle how much of depreciation was from China policy and political maneuvering and how much was from changing economic growth.

Bullard is one of the most free-thinking monetary policy makers, and discussions with him on Wharton Business Radio are always very revealing of some of the top thinking on future policy. To listen to our full conversation, please click below.

Important Risks Related to this Article

Important Information

Marketing communications issued in the European Economic Area (“EEA”): This document has been issued and approved by WisdomTree Ireland Limited, which is authorised and regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland.

Marketing communications issued in jurisdictions outside of the EEA: This document has been issued and approved by WisdomTree UK Limited, which is authorised and regulated by the United Kingdom Financial Conduct Authority.

WisdomTree Ireland Limited and WisdomTree UK Limited are each referred to as “WisdomTree” (as applicable). Our Conflicts of Interest Policy and Inventory are available on request.

For professional clients only. The information contained in this document is for your general information only and is neither an offer for sale nor a solicitation of an offer to buy securities or shares. This document should not be used as the basis for any investment decision. Investments may go up or down in value and you may lose some or all of the amount invested. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. Any decision to invest should be based on the information contained in the appropriate prospectus and after seeking independent investment, tax and legal advice.

The application of regulations and tax laws can often lead to a number of different interpretations. Any views or opinions expressed in this communication represent the views of WisdomTree and should not be construed as regulatory, tax or legal advice. WisdomTree makes no warranty or representation as to the accuracy of any of the views or opinions expressed in this communication. Any decision to invest should be based on the information contained in the appropriate prospectus and after seeking independent investment, tax and legal advice.

This document is not, and under no circumstances is to be construed as, an advertisement or any other step in furtherance of a public offering of shares or securities in the United States or any province or territory thereof. Neither this document nor any copy hereof should be taken, transmitted or distributed (directly or indirectly) into the United States.

Although WisdomTree endeavours to ensure the accuracy of the content in this document, WisdomTree does not warrant or guarantee its accuracy or correctness. Where WisdomTree has expressed its own opinions related to product or market activity, these views may change. Neither WisdomTree, nor any affiliate, nor any of their respective officers, directors, partners, or employees accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct or consequential loss arising from any use of this document or its contents.