Which macro regime does bitcoin favour?

Published 3 February 2026

Dovile Silenskyte
Director, Digital Assets Research

Key Takeaways

- Bitcoin performs best during periods of abundant or expected liquidity and deteriorating confidence in fiat currencies or policy credibility, making it uniquely sensitive to macro uncertainty.
- While bitcoin struggles in tightening cycles with rising real rates, it historically rebounds ahead of policy pivots, underscoring its role as a forward-looking asset.
- Investors should view bitcoin less as a traditional inflation hedge and more as a long-duration option on monetary disorder and liquidity expansion, offering asymmetric upside in volatile macro environments.

Liquidity and policy credibility matter more than inflation.

Bitcoin performs best when confidence in fiat money and policy credibility is weakening, and when liquidity is abundant or expected to return.

That makes bitcoin structurally different from inflation-linked assets, equities, or even gold.

The mistake investors repeatedly make is treating bitcoin as a simple inflation hedge. Bitcoin however responds to liquidity conditions, real interest rates and trust in monetary institutions, not to month-to-month consumer price index (CPI) prints.

Understanding which macro regime bitcoin favours matters for strategic allocation, expectation-setting and avoiding costly category errors.

Macro regime 1: high inflation and weak monetary credibility

Bitcoin: structurally supportive (medium term)

When inflation is high and central banks appear behind the curve, bitcoin's fixed-supply narrative gains traction. Its appeal stems from absolute scarcity (capped at 21 million coins) which contrasts sharply with discretionary monetary expansion during periods of policy stress.

Bitcoin, however, is not a mechanical hedge against CPI inflation. Historically:

- Bitcoin has failed to protect portfolios during sudden inflation shocks.
- It performs better when inflation becomes persistent and politicised.

- Deeply negative real interest rates matter far more than headline inflation.

In other words, bitcoin hedges monetary disorder, not day-to-day cost-of-living pressures. That distinction is critical. Bitcoin thrives when inflation undermines confidence in policy frameworks, not when prices merely rise.


Macro regime 2: monetary tightening and rising real rates

Bitcoin: short term headwind

Aggressive tightening cycles are bitcoin's least favourable environment.

Liquidity withdrawal hits 'speculative' and duration-sensitive assets first. Rising real interest rates increase the opportunity cost of holding non-yielding assets such as bitcoin, while tighter financial conditions force the unwinding of leverage, amplifying drawdowns.

Figure 1: Bitcoin is a real-rate trade, not an inflation hedge



Source: Artemis Terminal, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, WisdomTree. 12 January 2026. **Historical performance is not an indication of future performance, and any investment may go down in value.**

Bitcoin tracks real interest rates far more closely than inflation. As real yields rise, bitcoin struggles. As they peak and roll over, bitcoin historically begins to stabilise and recover.

Crucially, bitcoin has tended to bottom before policy pivots, not after them. Tightening phases are painful, but they often clear excess leverage and set the stage for the next structural rally once expectations shift from restriction to stabilisation.

Macro regime 3: monetary easing and liquidity expansion

Bitcoin: highly favourable

This is bitcoin's strongest regime. Falling real rates materially reduce the opportunity cost of holding non-yielding assets, while expanding liquidity disproportionately benefits scarce assets such as bitcoin. Although easing environments typically support all risk assets, bitcoin has often outperformed, combining liquidity sensitivity with a fixed-supply narrative to generate asymmetric upside.

Major bitcoin bull cycles coincided with accommodative monetary policy and balance-sheet expansion. Importantly, bitcoin responds not only to realised easing, but to expectations of easing, often repricing ahead of formal policy action.

This forward-looking behaviour reinforces bitcoin's role as a liquidity-sensitive asset with convex upside, particularly early in easing cycles when policy credibility is being re-established.

Macro regime 4: financial stress, crisis and systemic risk

Bitcoin: mixed short term, strong narrative tailwind

Bitcoin is not a traditional crisis hedge. It is a hedge against the policy consequences crises tend to provoke.

During acute stress, bitcoin often sells off alongside other risk assets as investors prioritise cash and liquidity. This pattern is visible across historical 'crypto winters.'

Figure 2: Bitcoin bear markets coincide with liquidity withdrawal

Source: Bloomberg, WisdomTree. Historical performance is not an indication of future performance, and any investment may go down in value.

Bitcoin bear markets coincided with liquidity withdrawal, not with inflation peaks.

However, once immediate stress subsides, episodes involving bank failures, capital controls, or sovereign risk tend to reinforce bitcoin's appeal as a non-sovereign monetary asset. Historical examples include unprecedented monetary expansion following COVID-19 and the US regional banking stress in 2023.

In each case, bitcoin demand rose not during the initial shock, but in response to the policy reaction.

Macro regime 5: strong growth, stable policy, low inflation

Bitcoin: least compelling

In environments characterised by credible central banks, positive real yields and low macroeconomic volatility, bitcoin's value proposition weakens.

Fiat currencies function sufficiently well to limit demand for monetary alternatives, while positive real yields raise the opportunity cost of holding non-yielding assets. Subdued volatility also dampens speculative appetite.

That said, this regime has proven rare and short-lived in practice. Since 2008, sustained macro stability has been the exception rather than the rule.

So, which regime does bitcoin really favour?

Bitcoin does not thrive in a single, neatly defined macro regime. It performs best when confidence in fiat money, institutions, or policy frameworks is weakening, and when liquidity is abundant or expected to return.

Conversely, bitcoin has historically struggled during periods of aggressive real-rate increases, indiscriminate liquidity withdrawal, and durable macro stability; environments where the opportunity cost of holding non-yielding assets rises sharply.

This leads to bitcoin's most useful framing for investors: not as a pure inflation hedge, not as a conventional risk asset, and not as digital gold, but as a long-duration option on monetary disorder and liquidity expansion.

Its value proposition is inherently convex: volatile in the short term, but increasingly compelling over longer horizons marked by rising debt burdens, fiscal dominance and constrained policy flexibility.

Approached this way, bitcoin fits best as a strategic allocation rather than a tactical trade, with volatility representing the price investors pay for exposure to a distinct and increasingly relevant macroeconomic theme.

Important Risks Related to this Article

Important Information

Marketing communications issued in the European Economic Area ("EEA"): This document has been issued and approved by WisdomTree Ireland Limited, which is authorised and regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland.

Marketing communications issued in jurisdictions outside of the EEA: This document has been issued and approved by WisdomTree UK Limited, which is authorised and regulated by the United Kingdom Financial Conduct Authority.

WisdomTree Ireland Limited and WisdomTree UK Limited are each referred to as "WisdomTree" (as applicable). Our Conflicts of Interest Policy and Inventory are available on request.

For professional clients only. The information contained in this document is for your general information only and is neither an offer for sale nor a solicitation of an offer to buy securities or shares. This document should not be used as the basis for any investment decision. Investments may go up or down in value and you may lose some or all of the amount invested. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. Any decision to invest should be based on the information contained in the appropriate prospectus and affer seeking independent investment, tax and legal advice.

The application of regulations and tax laws can offen lead to a number of different interpretations. Any views or opinions expressed in this communication represent the views of WisdomTree and should not be construed as regulatory, tax or legal advice. WisdomTree makes no warranty or representation as to the accuracy of any of the views or opinions expressed in this communication. Any decision to invest should be based on the information contained in the appropriate prospectus and affer seeking independent investment, tax and legal advice.

This document is not, and under no circumstances is to be construed as, an advertisement or any other step in furtherance of a public offering of shares or securities in the United States or any province or territory thereof. Neither this document nor any copy hereof should be taken, transmitted or distributed (directly or indirectly) into the United States.

Although WisdomTree endeavours to ensure the accuracy of the content in this document, WisdomTree does not warrant or guarantee its accuracy or correctness. Where WisdomTree has expressed its own opinions related to product or market activity, these views may change. Neither WisdomTree, nor any affiliate, nor any of their respective officers, directors, partners, or employees accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct or consequential loss arising from any use of this document or its contents.