
“TEAM TRUMP” ASIA PIVOT: PRESIDENTIAL LEADERSHIP

Jesper Koll — Senior Advisor

04/11/2017

“Team Trump” demonstrated exemplary global economic leadership skills at last week’s summit between President Donald Trump and China’s president, Xi Jinping. Most importantly, both leaders agreed to a concrete agenda of dialogue and engagement: At the working level, both nations committed to a 100-day plan to address bilateral trade issues, with progress overseen by the two presidents directly, and at the top level, President Trump accepted President Xi’s invitation for a follow-up bilateral summit in China sometime later this year.

All said, both leaders went out of their way to seek common ground and work toward credible solutions, rather than—as was widely expected before the meeting—merely agreeing to disagree. The new U.S.–China bilateral relationship is off to a pragmatic and forward-looking start, in our view. This is good news for global [risk](#) asset markets in general, and Japanese equities in particular: The risk of a trade and/or currency war coming to Asia has come down significantly, in our view.

However, as we pointed out in last week’s discussion about the [U.S.–China–Japan dynamics](#), the U.S.–China presidential summit was just the first step in a series of events that will shape investor confidence in the future opportunities and prospects for the world’s most dynamic economic region, Asia-Pacific. Next comes April 14, when the [U.S. Treasury](#) is due to publish its report on currency manipulation, and then we get a visit by U.S. Vice President Mike Pence and Commerce Secretary Wilbur Ross to Tokyo for the first U.S.–Japan economic, trade and finance framework talks during the week of April 17.

A return of true “[risk on](#)” requires evidence of coordinated policy leadership from America, with the Treasury Department, the Commerce Department and the White House demonstrating a unified and coordinated approach. For example, it would be alarming to see China accused of currency manipulation without immediate reference to the newly agreed-on U.S.–China 100-day plan as a forum to work things out. Ditto for the yen and the U.S.–Japan bilateral forum. The more professional and coordinated U.S. trade and investment policy leadership gets, the less distracted will risk takers be by the “chattering classes.” Both Washington and Tokyo are working hard to create a possible blueprint on future U.S.–Japan bilateral economic, trade and investment relations. In my personal view, the Team Trump Asia pivot between April 6 and April 21 marks the first real test of what the new U.S. administration is capable of.

From the U.S.–China–Japan Triangle to Asia-Pacific

Here in Tokyo, the success of the U.S.–China summit was greeted with relief. Yes, there is an understanding of the symbolism inherent in the fact that the U.S.–China bilateral framework talks will be overseen by the two presidents personally while, in contrast, the U.S.–Japan bilateral talks are led by the two vice presidents. At the core of this lies not only the fact that both Prime Minister Shinzo Abe and President Trump already have a much deeper relationship of not just personal trust but also national codependence: The U.S.–Japan security alliance is a bedrock that allows economic and trade relations to be viewed as more of a technical problem between the two nations, rather than a fundamental one. In contrast, the U.S.–China security and defense relationship is much less well defined, with both countries’ ambitions perhaps more competitive than complementary. “Team Abe” understands that the greater complexity of the U.S.–China relationship does require oversight at the highest level because it is more controversial and requires greater

political capital commitment.

Here, it is important to stress how pivotal a smooth U.S.–China trade relationship is to the future prosperity of all of Asia-Pacific. Competition between China and the rest of Asia is intense. Specifically, export competition is extremely fierce, with almost 80% of Asia ex-China exports competing head-to-head in the U.S. market against made-in-China products (see the table below). Even for made-in-Japan products, about 65% of exports compete head-to-head against those made in China, with the lack of direct competition in the car and car parts markets the principal reason Japan is relatively low compared to the rest of Asia. Make no mistake—China’s position in global export markets is not an unassailable monopoly.

Who Is Afraid of China?

% of country's exports that compete head-to-head with made-in-China products in the U.S.			
	1996	2006	2016
Japan	8%	28%	65%
Korea	28%	49%	72%
Taiwan	35%	69%	87%
Philippines	46%	76%	81%
Thailand	48%	77%	86%
Indonesia	56%	67%	78%

WisdomTree Japan Calculations based on UNCTAD trade statistics (12/31/16).

The key implications are twofold, in our view. First of all, a breakdown of U.S.–China free trade would almost certainly trigger a currency war, with China most likely forced to compensate for potential adverse tariffs by debasing its currency. Not doing so would risk market-share loss as Asian suppliers could move in quickly.

Second, the U.S. administration starts the 100-day plan negotiations from a position of relative strength. For America, there are plenty of alternative suppliers to made in China. For China, the almost certain loss of exports would likely force rising unemployment, which in turn could undermine leadership credentials for the Communist Party in general, and frictions between local governments and central government in particular.

The fact that President Xi himself is directly supervising progress on the 100-day plan underscores that failure is not an option for China, in our view.

In our view, the conditions for a “risk-on” trigger from the removal of policy uncertainty in Asia are beginning to fall into place. Whether these developments in Asia have any bearing on other regions in general, Europe in particular, remains to be seen. Unlike U.S.–Japan and now U.S.–China, a credible path toward bilateral engagement in Europe appears unlikely before we know who will be ruling in Europe’s strongest powers, Germany and France.

Important Risks Related to this Article

Foreign investing involves special risks, such as risk of loss from currency fluctuation or political or economic uncertainty.

For standardized performance and the most recent month-end performance click [here](#) NOTE, this material is intended for electronic use only. Individuals who intend to print and physically deliver to an investor must print the monthly performance report to accompany this blog.

For more investing insights, check out our [Economic & Market Outlook](#)

View the online version of this article [here](#).

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

U.S. investors only: Click [here](#) to obtain a WisdomTree ETF prospectus which contains investment objectives, risks, charges, expenses, and other information; read and consider carefully before investing.

There are risks involved with investing, including possible loss of principal. Foreign investing involves currency, political and economic risk. Funds focusing on a single country, sector and/or funds that emphasize investments in smaller companies may experience greater price volatility. Investments in emerging markets, currency, fixed income and alternative investments include additional risks. Please see prospectus for discussion of risks.

Past performance is not indicative of future results. This material contains the opinions of the author, which are subject to change, and should not to be considered or interpreted as a recommendation to participate in any particular trading strategy, or deemed to be an offer or sale of any investment product and it should not be relied on as such. There is no guarantee that any strategies discussed will work under all market conditions. This material represents an assessment of the market environment at a specific time and is not intended to be a forecast of future events or a guarantee of future results. This material should not be relied upon as research or investment advice regarding any security in particular. The user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use made of the information provided herein. Neither WisdomTree nor its affiliates, nor Foreside Fund Services, LLC, or its affiliates provide tax or legal advice. Investors seeking tax or legal advice should consult their tax or legal advisor. Unless expressly stated otherwise the opinions, interpretations or findings expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of WisdomTree or any of its affiliates.

The MSCI information may only be used for your internal use, may not be reproduced or re-disseminated in any form and may not be used as a basis for or component of any financial instruments or products or indexes. None of the MSCI information is intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. Historical data and analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance analysis, forecast or prediction. The MSCI information is provided on an "as is" basis and the user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. MSCI, each of its affiliates and each entity involved in compiling, computing or creating any MSCI information (collectively, the "MSCI Parties") expressly disclaims all warranties. With respect to this information, in no event shall any MSCI Party have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, consequential (including loss profits) or any other damages (www.msci.com)

Jonathan Steinberg, Jeremy Schwartz, Rick Harper, Christopher Gannatti, Bradley Krom, Tripp Zimmerman, Michael Barrer, Anita Rausch, Kevin Flanagan, Brendan Loftus, Joseph Tenaglia, Jeff Weniger, Matt Wagner, Alejandro Saltiel, Ryan Krystopowicz, Kara Marciscano, Jianing Wu and Brian Manby are registered representatives of Foreside Fund Services, LLC.

WisdomTree Funds are distributed by Foreside Fund Services, LLC, in the U.S. only.

You cannot invest directly in an index.

DEFINITIONS

Risk : Also standard deviation, which measures the spread of actual returns around an average return during a specific period. Higher risk indicates greater potential for returns to be farther away from this average.

Treasury : Debt obligation issued by the U.S. government with payments of principal and interest backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government.

Risk-on/risk-off : refers to changes in investment activity in response to perceived risk. During periods when risk is perceived as low, investors tend to engage in higher-risk investments. When risk is perceived as high, investors tend to gravitate toward lower-risk investments.