


CURRENT VALUATIONS SUGGEST GREATER RESILIENCY ACROSS EMERGING MARKET (EM) DEBT

Rick Harper — Chief Investment Officer, Fixed Income and Model Portfolios
05/20/2014

• Compared to this time last year, valuations are markedly different for EM debt than for other opportunistic fixed income sectors.¹ • Significant yield [premiums](#) compared to alternatives suggest greater investor opportunities for and resiliency within EM debt. • Risks remain, but existing positions provide, in our view, adequate compensation and could offer compelling re-entry points for investors. 2013 was a painful year for EM debt investors. In retrospect, valuations got progressively tighter, bottoming at all-time lows in the spring.² The “[Taper Tantrum](#)” of May and renewed fears of a Chinese hard landing resulted in a “reactive de-risking” among portfolio managers around the world. As a result, emerging market assets sold off in dramatic, successive waves. In response, EM officials scrambled to [tighten](#) policy and employ [foreign exchange reserves](#) to stem currency weakness. One year later, the backdrop for valuations and vulnerabilities suggests greater potential resiliency for EM debt in our view. In this the first of a two-part series, we look at relative valuations among a variety of [growth](#)-sensitive fixed income sectors. As a result of our analysis, we believe that the current amount of cushion in EM debt compared to other alternatives warrants the consideration of asset allocators to continue increasing their holdings of emerging market fixed income. **Year-to-Date** EM yields have retraced since hitting fresh highs at the end of January but remain at elevated levels compared to last year.³ With the decrease in Treasury yields in the U.S., [high-yield](#) and [investment-grade corporate bonds](#)⁴ have actually rallied in price since last fall, with rates for speculative corporates dropping 160 [basis points](#) (bps) since last September to 5.09%.⁵ Last April, high-yield corporates offered comparable yields to EM local [sovereign](#) debt and more yield than EM corporate debt denominated in U.S. dollars. Fast-forward to this April: EM local debt and EM corporate debt offer 160 bps and 64 bps more in yield than U.S. high-yield corporates. Yield pickup over U.S. investment-grade corporate bonds is almost 380 bps for EM local debt and 270 bps for EM corporate bonds, respectively. As shown in the table below, we believe that EM debt is now attractively priced compared to other U.S. fixed income sectors. **EM Corporate & Local Debt Yield vs.**



U.S. Alternatives

For

definitions of terms and indexes in the chart, please visit our [Glossary](#). U.S. high-yield corporate and EM local debt might not be natural substitutes and involve different risk factors, such as local investor preferences and the assumption of non-U.S. currency risk in local debt. But in a yield-starved environment, picking up nearly 160 bps with an investment-grade portfolio remains compelling in our view.⁶ Over the longer term, we believe that these valuations will encourage many investors to take an opportunistic look at the costs, benefits and merits of these exposures. **A Comparison of Corporate Debt** Emerging market corporate debt may be seen as a more straightforward comparison for some. Both U.S. high-yield and EM corporate bonds are denominated in U.S. dollars. As such, both markets trade at a [spread](#) relative to U.S. Treasuries in order to compensate investors for taking on [credit risk](#). EM corporate bonds are slightly longer in [duration](#) than high-yield bonds in aggregate, but are overwhelmingly (70%) composed of investment-grade issuers versus a, by definition, 100% speculative-grade portfolio.⁷ Given that these companies are headquartered in emerging markets, investors are compensated by an additional 64 bps in yield over high-yield corporate bonds, even though they represent higher-credit-quality businesses. Additionally, EM corporates feature only about 5% of overlap with the U.S. investment-grade and high-yield corporate universe.⁸ Given the potential for geopolitical risk, a discussion of emerging market corporate debt would not be complete without acknowledging the impact the current crisis has had on Russian corporate borrowing costs. Russian allocations within EM corporates may understandably give pause to some investors. However, after a de-escalation in tensions in recent days, markets appear to be trading more favorably. Coupled with the fact that nearly all of these businesses pose strategic long-term importance to the global economy, we continue to view the current difficulties as a long-term buying opportunity for active managers. **Stratifying Opportunities across Credit Ratings** In seeking to further refine our assessment of potential opportunities in emerging market fixed income, we sought to graph opportunities in U.S. corporate bonds yields according solely to their [credit ratings](#).⁹ While this analysis gives a high degree of discretion to credit rating agencies, we believe this analysis meaningfully highlights the dramatic transformation we have seen in markets over the past year. In April 2013, U.S. [CCC-rated](#) corporate bonds yielded 190 bps more than EM local debt. At the end of April 2014, EM local sovereign debt offered an additional 9 bps in yield compared to CCC-rated corporate borrowers, a shift of nearly 200 bps. Prior to 2014, local debt had never offered, even during the depths of the financial crisis, more yield than CCC-rated corporates in the history of the [J.P. Morgan GBI-EM Global Diversified Index](#).¹⁰ Conducting this same analysis for EM corporates, this EM asset class now yields over 110 bps more than [B-rated](#) credits in the U.S. In April 2013, the premium was only 20 bps, and at the start of 2013, B-rated high-yield debt actually provided higher absolute yields. Again, the key takeaway from the chart below is that across virtually every measure of relative value, EM debt currently provides a compelling pickup in income potential compared to lower-rated U.S. corporate debt. Additionally, while yields have continued to fall since August 2013 in the U.S., yields of emerging market fixed income have continued to climb over the last six months, potentially creating attractive relative value opportunities. **EM Locals Trading Like CCC-Rated Corporates, EM Corporates Wider Than B-Rated Corporates**

For definitions of

terms and indexes in the chart, please visit our [Glossary](#). **Conclusion** While emerging market investing entails a

potentially different risk profile compared to U.S. corporate debt, we believe that when investors are looking globally for income in their portfolios, all asset classes should be on the table. In the current market environment, we believe that emerging market fixed income is priced attractively compared to other risky fixed income sectors. Compared to last year, valuations suggest a greater resiliency to weather a potential rise in global interest rates. In our view, fundamentals suggest a potential [margin](#) for error as well. ¹Emerging market local debt is proxied by the J.P. Morgan GBI-EM Global Diversified. EM corporate debt is proxied by the JPMorgan CEMBI Broad. All data as of 4/30/14 unless otherwise noted ²Source: JP Morgan ³Sources: JP Morgan, Bloomberg ⁴As represented by Barclays High Yield ⁵Source: JP Morgan ⁶Source: JP Morgan. As of 4/30/14, the J.P. Morgan GBI-EM Global Diversified Index was 93% investment grade ⁷Source: JP Morgan ⁸Sources: Barclays, WisdomTree ⁹Analysis was completed by using the Barclays indices for each credit rating ¹⁰Source: JP Morgan. Real-time history of the index begins in December 2006

Important Risks Related to this Article

Investments in emerging, offshore or frontier markets are generally less liquid and less efficient than investments in developed markets and are subject to additional risks, such as risks of adverse governmental regulation and intervention or political developments. Foreign investing involves special risks, such as risk of loss from currency fluctuation or political or economic uncertainty. Fixed income investments are subject to interest rate risk; their value will normally decline as interest rates rise. In addition, when interest rates fall, income may decline. Fixed income investments are also subject to credit risk, the risk that the issuer of a bond will fail to pay interest and principal in a timely manner or that negative perceptions of the issuer's ability to make such payments will cause the price of that bond to decline.

For standardized performance and the most recent month-end performance click [here](#) NOTE, this material is intended for electronic use only. Individuals who intend to print and physically deliver to an investor must print the monthly performance report to accompany this blog.

For more investing insights, check out our [Economic & Market Outlook](#)

View the online version of this article [here](#).

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

U.S. investors only: Click [here](#) to obtain a WisdomTree ETF prospectus which contains investment objectives, risks, charges, expenses, and other information; read and consider carefully before investing.

There are risks involved with investing, including possible loss of principal. Foreign investing involves currency, political and economic risk. Funds focusing on a single country, sector and/or funds that emphasize investments in smaller companies may experience greater price volatility. Investments in emerging markets, currency, fixed income and alternative investments include additional risks. Please see prospectus for discussion of risks.

Past performance is not indicative of future results. This material contains the opinions of the author, which are subject to change, and should not to be considered or interpreted as a recommendation to participate in any particular trading strategy, or deemed to be an offer or sale of any investment product and it should not be relied on as such. There is no guarantee that any strategies discussed will work under all market conditions. This material represents an assessment of the market environment at a specific time and is not intended to be a forecast of future events or a guarantee of future results. This material should not be relied upon as research or investment advice regarding any security in particular. The user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use made of the information provided herein. Neither WisdomTree nor its affiliates, nor Foreside Fund Services, LLC, or its affiliates provide tax or legal advice. Investors seeking tax or legal advice should consult their tax or legal advisor. Unless expressly stated otherwise the opinions, interpretations or findings expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of WisdomTree or any of its affiliates.

The MSCI information may only be used for your internal use, may not be reproduced or re-disseminated in any form and may not be used as a basis for or component of any financial instruments or products or indexes. None of the MSCI information is intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. Historical data and analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance analysis, forecast or prediction. The MSCI information is provided on an "as is" basis and the user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. MSCI, each of its affiliates and each entity involved in compiling, computing or creating any MSCI information (collectively, the "MSCI Parties") expressly disclaims all warranties. With respect to this information, in no event shall any MSCI Party have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, consequential (including loss profits) or any other damages (www.msci.com)

Jonathan Steinberg, Jeremy Schwartz, Rick Harper, Christopher Gannatti, Bradley Krom, Tripp Zimmerman, Michael Barrer, Anita Rausch, Kevin Flanagan, Brendan Loftus, Joseph Tenaglia, Jeff Weniger, Matt Wagner, Alejandro Saltiel, Ryan Krystopowicz, Jianing Wu, and Brian Manby are registered representatives of Foreside Fund Services, LLC.

WisdomTree Funds are distributed by Foreside Fund Services, LLC, in the U.S. only.

You cannot invest directly in an index.

DEFINITIONS

Premium : When the price of an ETF is higher than its NAV.

Tapering : A shift in monetary policy by which the Federal Reserve would begin decreasing the amount of bonds it purchases.

Tighten : a decline in the amount of compensation bond holders require to lend to risky borrowers. When spreads tighten, the market is implying that borrowers pose less risk to lenders.

Foreign exchange reserves : The total balance of foreign currency deposits and bonds held by a central bank or monetary authority.

Growth : Characterized by higher price levels relative to fundamentals, such as dividends or earnings. Price levels are higher because investors are willing to pay more due to their expectations of future improvements in these fundamentals.

High Yield : Sometimes referred to as "junk bonds," these securities have a higher risk of default than investment-grade securities.

Investment grade : An investment grade is a rating that signifies a municipal or corporate bond presents a relatively low risk of default.

Basis point : 1/100th of 1 percent.

Sovereign : A national government.

Spread : Typically refers to a difference between a measure of yield for one asset class and a measure of yield for either a different subset of that asset class or a different asset class entirely.

Credit risk : The risk that a borrower will not meet their contractual obligations in conjunction with an investment.

Duration : A measure of a bond's sensitivity to changes in interest rates. The weighted average accounts for the various durations of the bonds purchased as well as the proportion of the total government bond portfolio that they make up.

Credit ratings : An assessment of the creditworthiness of a borrower in general terms or with respect to a particular debt or financial obligation. Credit assessment and evaluation for companies and governments is generally done by a credit rating agency such as Standard & Poor's, Moody's or Fitch.

CCC-rated : Standard & Poor's credit rating that implies the issuer is currently vulnerable to nonpayment, and is dependent upon favorable business, financial, and economic conditions for the obligor to meet its financial commitment. In the event of adverse business, financial, or economic conditions, the obligor is not likely to have the capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation.

B-rated : Standard & Poor's credit rating that implies the issuer is more vulnerable to nonpayment than obligations rated 'BB', but the obligor currently has the capacity to meet its financial commitment. Adverse business, financial, or economic conditions will likely impair the obligor's capacity or willingness to meet its financial commitment on the obligation.

Margins : Focused on issues impacting the overall economic landscape as opposed to those only impacting individual companies.