RESEARCH ON A LEVERED 60/40 APPROACH VS. 100% EQUITIES

Jeremy Schwartz — Global Chief Investment Officer 08/24/2018

Following the launch of the <u>WisdomTree 90/60 U.S. Balanced Fund (NTSX)</u>, Cliff Asness, co-founder of AQR Capital Management, commented on Twitter that although he had a "22-year head start" from a research perspective (linking to a piece he wrote in December of 1996 serving as Goldman Sachs Asset Management's director of quantitative research), WisdomTree managed to "beat him" in launching this type of levered <u>60/40</u> idea in an exchange-traded fund.

In Asness's 1996 piece, titled "<u>Why Not 100% Equities: A Diversified Portfolio Provides More Expected Return per Unit of</u> <u>Risk</u>," one of his core arguments was that an "investor willing to bear the risk of 100% equities can do even better with a diversified portfolio."

Asness showed how the use of <u>leverage</u>, which we discuss below, when applied to a traditional 60/40 strategy, could achieve a similar <u>volatility</u> of the 100% equity exposure but could help improve the return. Asness's paper, based on data from 1926 through 1993, applied a 155% leverage rate to a 60/40 portfolio (applied monthly), where the borrowing rate used for leveraging his 60/40 portfolio was done at a cost of financing by the one-month <u>t-bill</u> rate. This is a table from his paper:

Figure 1: Return and Volatility Table from Asness's "Why Not 100% Equities" Paper



EXHIBIT 3

Effect of Leverage (%)

Portfolio	Compound Return	Standard Deviation	
100% Stocks	10.3	20.0	
100% Bonds	5.6	6.8	
60% Stocks, 40% Bonds	8.9	12.9	
Levered 60/40	11.1	20.0	

Stocks are represented by the S&P 500. Bonds are represented by the Ibbotson total return series for long-term corporates. The 60/40 portfolio is a combination of 60% the S&P 500 and 40% long-term corporates, rebalanced back to 60/40 every month. The levered 60/40 portfolio invests 155% each month in the 60/40 portfolio, and -55% each month in the one-month T-bill.

Source: Cliff Asness, "Why Not 100% Equities," The Journal of Portfolio Management, 1996. Research conducted with data from 1/31/1926 through 12/31/1993. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Index performance does not represent actual fund or portfolio performance. A fund or portfolio may differ significantly from the securities included in the index. Index performance assumes reinvestment of dividends but does not reflect any management fees, transaction costs or other expenses that would be incurred by a portfolio or fund, or brokerage commissions on transactions in fund shares. Such fees, expenses and commissions could reduce returns. WisdomTree, its affiliates and their independent providers are not liable for any informational errors, incompleteness or delays or for any actions taken in reliance on information contained herein. Bond total returns are represented by a time series of long-term corporate bonds, aggregated and calculated by Ibbotson.

For definitions of terms in the chart, please visit our glossary.

The Asness Research vs. the WisdomTree 90/60 approach

While aspects of the two are similar, there are, of course, some very important differences between the approach of the WisdomTree 90/60 U.S. Balanced Fund and its targeted exposures from the research that Asness published.

The similarities between the two strategies come from the equity exposures. The large-cap 'equity beta' exposure in the WisdomTree Fund targets <u>S&P 500</u>-like returns, are as such, overlaps what we see in Asness' piece. However, there are more distinct differences on the bond exposure side of the equation.

Asness showed returns for bonds using the long-term corporate series from Ibbotson, funding these bond returns by borrowing at the one-month t-bill rate, so an investor could earn both <u>credit</u> and duration premiums over the t-bill rate.

Bond Futures vs. Corporates

WisdomTree is implementing bond exposure in the WisdomTree 90/60 U.S. Balanced Fund through <u>laddered Treasury b</u> <u>ond futures</u>. No one earns "<u>credit risk</u>" premiums through this Treasury bond futures exposure, so there is less additional income to be earned from that perspective.

Although there are very important differences between our strategy implementation and the Asness study, we think that study is very useful in understanding the market dynamics at work in a levered 60/40 approach. Thus, we wanted to replicate and update the results of Asness's paper for the next 25 years to see how his research would have worked after the article was published.

Our calculations use the same lbbotson data series and methodology: borrowing -55% at the one-month t-bill rate that



finances the 155% exposure every month in the 60/40 mix of S&P 500 and the Ibbotson corporate bond series. Our independent calculation of Asness's test showed the same compound return figures.

Extension 1/1/1004_7/21/2010

Asness Replication, 1/31/1926-12/31/1993						
Portfolio	Annualized Total Return	Annualized Volatility				
100% Stocks	10.3%	20.1%				
100% Bonds	5.6%	6.8%				
100% Cash	3.7%	0.9%				
60% Stocks, 40% Bonds	8.9%	12.9%				
Levered 60/40	11.1%	20.1%				

Figure 2: WisdomTree's Replication of Asness's Results (1/31/1926-12/31/1993)

Extended, 1/31/1926-7/31/2018

Extenueu, 1/31/1320-7/31/2010		EXtension, 1/1/1334-7/31/2010			
	Annualized	Annualized		Annualized	Annualized
Portfolio	Total Return	Volatility	Portfolio	Total Return	Volatility
100% Stocks	10.1%	18.7%	100% Stocks	9.6%	14.3%
100% Bonds	5.9%	7.5%	100% Bonds	7.0%	9.1%
100% Cash	3.3%	0.9%	100% Cash	2.4%	0.6%
60% Stocks, 40% Bonds	8.9%	12.1%	60% Stocks, 40% Bonds	8.9%	9.6%
Levered 60/40	11.4%	18.8%	Levered 60/40	12.2%	14.9%

Sources: WisdomTree, Ibbotson, Morningstar Direct, as of 7/31/18. Past performance is not indicative of future results. You cannot invest directly in an index. Index performance does not represent actual fund or portfolio performance. A fund or portfolio may differ significantly from the securities included in the index. Index performance assumes reinvestment of dividends but does not reflect any management fees, transaction costs or other expenses that would be incurred by a portfolio or fund, or brokerage commissions on transactions in fund shares. Such fees, expenses and commissions could reduce returns. WisdomTree, its affiliates and their independent providers are not liable for any informational errors, incompleteness or delays or for any actions taken in reliance on information contained herein. The research shown is not a back test or hypothetical representation of NTSX.

For definitions of terms in the chart, please visit our glossary.

When we updated the figures for the next 25 years, we saw that stocks returned a bit lower over that time, dragging down the full-period returns for equities but also lowering equity volatility. While the compounded S&P 500 returns ticked down 20 basis points (bps) from 10.3% to 10.1% when updated through 2018, the bonds compounded returns ticked 30 bps higher, from 5.6% to 5.9%, so a 60/40 portfolio had unchanged returns at 8.9%.

Because cash rates generally also ticked down over the 25-year period after Asness published the paper, the levered 60/40 returns using Asness's assumptions/calculations ticked up 30 basis points from 11.1% to 11.4% when updated to 2018, and the spread between 100% equities and the levered 60/40 widened out from 80 bps at the time of his original study to 130 bps over the full 1926–2018 period. When people publish research, often the research itself gets a reputation of "failing to replicate out of sample." Asness's study, and the levered 60/40 portfolio utilizing the same approach he outlined in the paper, actually saw portfolio returns outperform in the following 25-year period compared to its historical back test. The levered 60/40 portfolio returned 12.2% for the 1994–2018 period, 260 bps ahead of the 100% equity line, compared with only 80 bps during his original test.

Current Equity vs. Bond Debates

Right now, one of the core asset allocation conundrums remain: interest rates generally are still near their lowest levels, and, as such, one doesn't earn as much from bonds as in the past. Similarly, equity valuations are extended from historical levels, so forward-looking equity returns are also lower than normal.



The conundrum for traditional asset allocators, however, is that equity premiums (how much compensation stocks are offering over safe assets like bonds) are likely still favoring stocks in asset allocation models.

Professor Jeremy Siegel, under whom I have studied extensively, believes the current equity premium over bonds is around 4.5%, whereas his work historically over the last 200 years shows the real equity premium was closer to 3%.

Shifting bond exposures to equities, which have higher volatilities, when they are at high valuations is not easy to do. Substituting some of the traditional equities with a package of equities that also increases bond exposure could perhaps help solve one of these key asset allocation debates facing investors: stocks or bonds? Why not both for the same dollar invested?

Important Risks Related to this Article

There are risks associated with investing, including possible loss of principal. While the Fund is actively managed, the Fund's investment process is expected to be heavily dependent on quantitative models, and the models may not perform as intended. Equity securities, such as common stocks, are subject to market, economic and business risks that may cause their prices to fluctuate. The Fund invests in derivatives to gain exposure to U.S. Treasuries. The return on a derivative instrument may not correlate with the return of its underlying reference asset. The Fund's use of derivatives will give rise to leverage, and derivatives can be volatile and may be less liquid than other securities. As a result, the value of an investment in the Fund may change quickly and without warning and you may lose money. Interest rate risk is the risk that fixed income securities, and financial instruments related to fixed income securities, will decline in value because of an increase in interest rates and changes to other factors, such as perception of an issuer's creditworthiness. Please read the Fund's prospectus for specific details regarding the Fund's risk profile.

Diversification does not eliminate the risk of experiencing investment losses.

For standardized performance and the most recent month-end performance click <u>here</u> NOTE, this material is intended for electronic use only. Individuals who intend to print and physically deliver to an investor must print the monthly performance report to accompany this blog.

For more investing insights, check out our **Economic & Market Outlook**

View the online version of this article <u>here</u>.



IMPORTANT INFORMATION

U.S. investors only: Click <u>here</u> to obtain a WisdomTree ETF prospectus which contains investment objectives, risks, charges, expenses, and other information; read and consider carefully before investing.

There are risks involved with investing, including possible loss of principal. Foreign investing involves currency, political and economic risk. Funds focusing on a single country, sector and/or funds that emphasize investments in smaller companies may experience greater price volatility. Investments in emerging markets, currency, fixed income and alternative investments include additional risks. Please see prospectus for discussion of risks.

Past performance is not indicative of future results. This material contains the opinions of the author, which are subject to change, and should not to be considered or interpreted as a recommendation to participate in any particular trading strategy, or deemed to be an offer or sale of any investment product and it should not be relied on as such. There is no guarantee that any strategies discussed will work under all market conditions. This material represents an assessment of the market environment at a specific time and is not intended to be a forecast of future events or a guarantee of future results. This material should not be relied upon as research or investment advice regarding any security in particular. The user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use made of the information provided herein. Neither WisdomTree nor its affiliates, nor Foreside Fund Services, LLC, or its affiliates provide tax or legal advice. Investors seeking tax or legal advice should consult their tax or legal advisor. Unless expressly stated otherwise the opinions, interpretations or findings expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of WisdomTree or any of its affiliates.

The MSCI information may only be used for your internal use, may not be reproduced or re-disseminated in any form and may not be used as a basis for or component of any financial instruments or products or indexes. None of the MSCI information is intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. Historical data and analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance analysis, forecast or prediction. The MSCI information is provided on an "as is" basis and the user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. MSCI, each of its affiliates and each entity involved in compiling, computing or creating any MSCI information (collectively, the "MSCI Parties") expressly disclaims all warranties. With respect to this information, in no event shall any MSCI Party have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, consequential (including loss profits) or any other damages (www.msci.com)

Jonathan Steinberg, Jeremy Schwartz, Rick Harper, Christopher Gannatti, Bradley Krom, Tripp Zimmerman, Michael Barrer, Anita Rausch, Kevin Flanagan, Brendan Loftus, Joseph Tenaglia, Jeff Weniger, Matt Wagner, Alejandro Saltiel, Ryan Krystopowicz, Jianing Wu, and Brian Manby are registered representatives of Foreside Fund Services, LLC.

WisdomTree Funds are distributed by Foreside Fund Services, LLC, in the U.S. only.

You cannot invest directly in an index.



DEFINITIONS

60/40 Portfolio : A portfolio of 60% equities and 40% fixed income.

Leverage : Total assets divided by equity. Higher numbers indicate greater borrowing to finance asset purchases; leverage can tend to make positive performance more positive and negative performance more negative.

Volatility : A measure of the dispersion of actual returns around a particular average level. .

Treasury Bill: A treasury bill (T-Bill) is a short-term debt obligation backed by the U.S. government with a maturity of one month (four weeks), three months (13 weeks) or six months (26 weeks).

S&P 500 Index : Market capitalization-weighted benchmark of 500 stocks selected by the Standard and Poor's Index Committee designed to represent the performance of the leading industries in the United States economy.

Credit : A contractual agreement in which a borrower receives something of value now and agrees to repay the lender at some date in the future.

Ladder : A fixed income strategy that seeks equal allocations across the yield curve in order to limit reinvestment risk.

Futures/Futures Contract : Reflects the expected future value of a commodity, currency or Treasury security.

Credit risk : The risk that a borrower will not meet their contractual obligations in conjunction with an investment.

Basis point : 1/100th of 1 percent.

Interest rates : The rate at which interest is paid by a borrower for the use of money.

Valuation : Refers to metrics that relate financial statistics for equities to their price levels to determine if certain attributes, such as earnings or dividends, are cheap or expensive.

